



Course Projects Distributed Embedded Systems

Andrea Acquaviva
University of Verona, ITALY



Overall Objectives

- The main objective of the course project will be to evaluate how a set of networking and non-networking applications can be implemented on Freescale multicore platforms. In particular, the following aspects will be addressed:
 - Methodology to go to multicore
 - Scalability
 - Optimization
 - Debugging



Project Topics

- Methodology to go to multicore
 - programming models, compilers, runtime engines
- Scalability
 - Increasing number of cores, effects of interconnects, cost of synchronization, coherency
- Optimization
 - Load balancing, task allocation, energy and thermal management
- Debugging
 - Runtime support for multicore debugging

3



Requirements

- Test scalability between 1 to N cores
 - how control-plane is implement and how it scales
- Networking applications
 - Header processing: routing IPv6, NAT, packet filtering (DiffServ)
 - Content processing: security, encapsulation, antivirus, spamfiltering
- Multimedia applications
 - Video transcoding, face/image recognition, VoIP
- Application scenarios
 - Networking, multimedia, networking + multimedia
- Programming methodologies considered for evaluation
 - Thread library application agnostic (Pthreads)
 - Parallelizing compilers (OpenMP)
- Other requirements
 - Automated and repeatable tests
 - Standard API, GPL license, Open source

4



Project List

Project name	Short description	Notes	Target
1.Security offload using BMP	Study and implementation of optimal allocation of security application son multicore architectures using BMP strategy and comparison with default SMP	1. Performance profiling of security functions (encryption, key management, etc) 2. Optimal task mapping and communication definition 3. Implementation of demonstrator of the strategy on the target platform	Freescale MPC8572
2.VoIP offload using BMP	Study and implementation of optimal allocation of VoIP applications n multicore architectures using BMP strategy and comparison with default SMP	1. Performance profiling of VoIP application and task graph definition 2. Optimal task mapping and communication definition 3. Implementation of demonstrator of the strategy on the target platform	Freescale MPC8572
3.Runtime support for asymmetric multiprocessing in Linux	Implementation of runtime support for acceleration of security applications on Linux SMP using master-slave configuration	1. Use CPU affinity support on Linux to bound processor control 2. Implementation of memory areas for code, data and buffering of accelerators	Freescale MPC8572

5



Project List

Project name	Short description	Notes	Target
4.Security offload using AMP	Optimization of security applications (OpenSSL, IPSeC) on multicore architectures using AMP strategy	1. Implementation of hardware and software accelerators of security functions and protocols 2. Integration in the AMP runtime engine 3. Comparison with default Linux SMP performance	Freescale MPC8572
5.VoIP offload using AMP	Optimization of a VoIP applications (asterisk) on multicore architectures using AMP strategy.	1. Implementation of hardware and software accelerators of VoIP engines 2. Integration in the AMP runtime engine 3. Comparison with default Linux SMP performance	Freescale MPC8572

6



Project List

Project name	Short description	Notes	Target
6.Virtualization	Implementation of an Hypervisor on SMP architecture	XEN	Freescle MPC8572
7.OpenMP	Evaluation of OpenMP efficiency and overhead on embedded multicore SMP architecture and comparison with direct Pthread implementation	GCC + OpenMP	Freescle MPC8572

Andrea Acquaviva: Freescale Meeting, 22 Nov, Glasgow, UK

7



Additional Projects

Project name	Short description	Notes	Target
SDF	Modelling an application using SDF. Comparison of analytical and real throughput.	1. Modelling of communication 2. Comparison using MPARM simulator	MPARM
Ray tracing	Implement a graphical application on Cell processor using ILP+CP	Ray-tracing application, Cell simulator and SDK	IBM Cell
Task migration	Implementation of runtime engine for migration on Cell		IBM Cell
Compiler assisted task migration	Optimization of migration points placement using GCC		Freescle, MPARM, Cell

8



Proposed Projects

Project name	Short description	Notes	Target
Automatic Linux Driver Implementation	Methodology to design linux device drivers	-	Freescale MPC8572

Andrea Acquaviva: Freescale Meeting, 22 Nov, Glasgow, UK

9



Project Organization

- Common phase
 - Experimental environment set-up (set-up of the simulator and porting of the application as it is)
 - Modeling and profiling the considered applications using task graph (TG)
 - Classification of the application as control or data-flow oriented
 - Thread organization, communication and synchronization model (either shared memory or message passing)
 - Execution times of building blocks
 - Memory utilization (global and private data structures, stack, heap)
 - I/O utilization
 - Metric definition (performance figure depending on the selected application)

10



Common Phase: Objectives

- *The student should come-up with a suitable modeling methodology*
 - Task graph (TG) model should be defined
 - The model can be refined during successive phases of the project
 - The usage of memory and I/O have to be profiled, distinguishing between global and private data structures
 - Type of system calls performed (either blocking, non blocking or asynchronous).
- A performance metric must be defined for successive evaluation of scalability properties
 - The metric is application dependent, but it is preferable to define sets of metrics depending on the application category (i.e., networking and non-networking).
 - For instance, frame rate, jitter, delay for image processing applications or packet rate, waiting time and packet losses for networking applications
- Milestone 1. Application modeling and metric definition

11



Projects Timeline

- New deadline for project registration: April 4
 - For projects beginning on April 2008
- Maximum duration
 - 3 months
- Synchronization
 - Each week: update meeting
 - After 1 month: objectives re-negotiation
 - 2 weeks before submission: preliminary presentation of results

12



Reporting

- Written report or presentation slides
- Report structure
 - State of the art and background
 - Work description
 - Provide the documentation needed to repeat the experiments
 - Comment and justify obtained results!
- Use of English language

13



Project Grading

- 70% objectives
- 30% timeliness

14



Project Duration

- Course projects: 2.5 months + 2 weeks write up
 - Initial common phase during the course (1PM)
 - Second phase continues after the course (2PM)
- Thesis projects: 5 months + 1 month write up
 - Initial common phase (1PM)
 - Second phase (2PM x number of small projects)

15



Projects Periods

- Course projects start on April 2008
- Thesis projects start on demand
 - A student can continue a course project for two more months

16