

# Mathematical logic

## Problems 2

8. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Beth structure for minimal logic, and  $\eta$  a variable assignment. <sup>(\*)</sup>

a) Prove for every proposition symbol  $R$ :

$$\forall k \ I(R, k) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall k \ k \# R[\eta].$$

In particular, for  $\mathcal{B}$  to be a Beth structure for intuitionistic logic, it does not matter whether one requires

$$\forall k \ I(\perp, k) = 0 \text{ or } \forall \eta \ \forall k \ k \# \perp[\eta].$$

b) Prove that each of the following items implies the next:

i)  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Beth structure for intuitionistic logic

ii)  $\forall \eta \ \forall k \ k \# \perp \rightarrow A[\eta]$  for every formula  $A$

(here again of predicate logic)

iii)  $\forall \eta \ \forall k \ k \# \exists FQ[\eta]$

<sup>(\*)</sup> As usual  $k$  stands for a node of the underlying complete binary tree  $\{0,1\}^*$ ,

9. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Beth structure of intuitionistic logic,  $\gamma$  a variable assignment,  $k$  a node and  $A$  a formula. Prove:

$$a) k \Vdash \neg A[\gamma] \Leftrightarrow \forall k' \geq k \quad k' \nVdash A[\gamma]$$

$$b) k \Vdash \neg\neg A[\gamma] \Leftrightarrow \forall k' \geq k \quad \neg \forall k'' \geq k' \quad k'' \Vdash A[\gamma]$$

10. Prove  $\nVdash_i \text{TND}_R$  for every relation symbol  $R$  by finding a Beth structure for intuitionistic logic in which  $(\ ) \nVdash R \underline{x} \vee \neg R \underline{x}$ .

(Hint: Use 9.a and a Beth structure that has been used in a lecture)

11. Deduce the axioms of symmetry and transitivity from the other equality axioms in minimal logic.

12. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the language of groups.

a) Prove that there is no  $\mathcal{L}$ -axiom system the axioms of which are precisely the finite groups.

b) Prove that if a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}$  is valid in all finite groups, then it must also be valid in an infinite group.

13. Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{0, 1, +, -, \times, \frac{1}{x}, =\}$  be the language of fields, and  $A$  a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Prove that if  $A$  is valid in all fields of characteristic 0, then there must be  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A$  is valid in all fields of characteristic  $> n$ .

(Recall that the characteristic of a field  $K$  is defined as  $\text{char}(K) = \min\{l \in \mathbb{N} : l \geq 2, l \cdot 1_K = 0\}$  if this set has an element, and  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  if  $l \cdot 1_K \neq 0$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq 2$ . Here  $l \cdot 1_K = 1 + \dots + 1$  with  $l$  summands.)

14. Show that the class of fields of finite characteristic is not axiomatisable, i.e. that there is no axiom system the models of which are exactly the fields of finite characteristic.

(Hint: Use 13. above.)