Research Day 2017

Gruppo di matematica discreta e computazionale

11 aprile 2017

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣

Representation of algebras

The concept of representation of algebras goes back to Kronecker

・ロト ・回 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Representation of algebras

The concept of representation of algebras goes back to Kronecker

A simple example is the quiver



corresponding to the algebra

$$\begin{bmatrix} k & k^2 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$$

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

æ

Representation of algebras

Modules over the Kronecker algebra can be seen as quadruples



the algebra itself corresponding to V = W = k and f = g the identity

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト の Q @

Such algebras are called *path algebras*

Representation of algebras

Path algebras are hereditary and every finite dimensional algebras is Morita equivalent to a path algebra

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

æ

Representation of algebras

Path algebras are hereditary and every finite dimensional algebras is Morita equivalent to a path algebra

(4回) (三) (三)

Indecomposable modules over a path algebras are the building blocks for all modules

Representation of algebras

Path algebras are hereditary and every finite dimensional algebras is Morita equivalent to a path algebra

Indecomposable modules over a path algebras are the building blocks for all modules

It is so interesting to classify indecomposable modules

(4回) (三) (三)

Representation of algebras

An algebra is said to have *tame representation type* if its indecomposable modules can be classified, except for a finite number of them, as 1-parameter families

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

æ

Representation of algebras

An algebra is said to have *tame representation type* if its indecomposable modules can be classified, except for a finite number of them, as 1-parameter families

A classical theorem by Gabriel determines all path algebras of tame representation type as the ones whose quiver is built from a Dynkin diagram

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Algebra

Computational mathematics Graph theory Logic

Dynkin diagrams



・ロト ・日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

æ

Representation of algebras

The general theory of representation of algebras has developed in great depth

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

Representation of algebras

The general theory of representation of algebras has developed in great depth

It has several connections with geometry and other branches of mathematics, mainly category theory, spectral sequences and derived categories

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Listing of faces of polytopes

A polytope is the set of all the convex combinations of a finite set of points in \mathbb{R}^n

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

Listing of faces of polytopes

A polytope is the set of all the convex combinations of a finite set of points in \mathbb{R}^n

When n = 2 it is a (convex) polygon, when n = 3 it is a (convex) polyhedron

・ロト ・回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

æ

Listing of faces of polytopes

A polytope is the set of all the convex combinations of a finite set of points in \mathbb{R}^n

When n = 2 it is a (convex) polygon, when n = 3 it is a (convex) polyhedron

A polytope can be described by its vertices or by its faces

(4回) (三) (三)

Listing of faces of polytopes

A polytope is the set of all the convex combinations of a finite set of points in \mathbb{R}^n

When n = 2 it is a (convex) polygon, when n = 3 it is a (convex) polyhedron

A polytope can be described by its *vertices* or by its *faces*

It is not known a polynomial algorithm for passing from one description to the other

・ロト ・回 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Listing of faces of polytopes

A polytope is the set of all the convex combinations of a finite set of points in \mathbb{R}^n

When n = 2 it is a (convex) polygon, when n = 3 it is a (convex) polyhedron

A polytope can be described by its *vertices* or by its *faces*

It is not known a polynomial algorithm for passing from one description to the other

・ロト ・日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

Some recent developments suggest the possibility of approaching this problem from a novel point of view

Games and dynamic temporal planning

Parity games and mean payoff games belong to the classes NP and coNP, but it is not known whether they belong to P

||◆ 同 ト ◆ 臣 ト ◆ 臣 ト

Games and dynamic temporal planning

Parity games and mean payoff games belong to the classes NP and coNP, but it is not known whether they belong to P

Such games are connected with other research fields in our department

(4回) (1日) (日)

Games and dynamic temporal planning

Parity games and mean payoff games belong to the classes NP and coNP, but it is not known whether they belong to P

Such games are connected with other research fields in our department

Suppose you have to place durations or plan temporal events, subject to certain constraints and where some durations depend on external variables (not under our control): can we still control such a situation? Are there algorithms for determining such dynamic choices?

(4回) (三) (三)

Circular flux

Given a graph, we look for an orientation of the edges and an attribution of numbers so that the sum of the in-going values equals the sum of the out-going values

・ 回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

æ

Circular flux

Given a graph, we look for an orientation of the edges and an attribution of numbers so that the sum of the in-going values equals the sum of the out-going values



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○○○

Circular flux

More precisely, given a graph (V, E), we want an orientation of the edges and a map

$$f: E \rightarrow [1, v - 1]$$

such that, for each $v \in V$,

$$\sum_{\substack{e \ e}} f(e) = \sum_{\substack{v \to e}} f(e)$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Ξ.

Circular flux

More precisely, given a graph (V, E), we want an orientation of the edges and a map

$$f: E \rightarrow [1, v - 1]$$

such that, for each $v \in V$,

$$\sum_{\substack{\to\\ e}} f(e) = \sum_{\substack{v \to e\\ e}} f(e)$$

(4回) (三) (三)

Such a pair of orientation and map is called a v-CF



Conjecture (Tutte) For 'reasonable' graphs *G*

$\inf\{v : \text{there exists a } v \text{-} CF \text{ for } G\} \le 5$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣



Conjecture (Tutte) For 'reasonable' graphs G

 $\inf\{v : \text{there exists a } v \text{-} CF \text{ for } G\} \le 5$

・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

æ

Problems

- For what graphs does equality hold?
- Find minimal counterexamples

Constructive proofs

A well known proof of the infinitude of primes goes along like

Suppose, by contradiction, that $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$ are all the primes Then $p_1p_2 \cdots p_n + 1$ is composite, but it is not divisible by any of the listed primes: contradiction

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

Constructive proofs

The previous proof can be easily transformed into a *constructive proof*

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣

Constructive proofs

The previous proof can be easily transformed into a *constructive proof*

Let p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n be any list of prime numbers, distinct or not

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣

Constructive proofs

The previous proof can be easily transformed into a *constructive proof*

Let $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$ be any list of prime numbers, distinct or not Consider the number $m = p_1 p_2 ... p_n + 1$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣

Constructive proofs

The previous proof can be easily transformed into a *constructive proof*

Let $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$ be any list of prime numbers, distinct or not Consider the number $m = p_1 p_2 ... p_n + 1$ Consider the minimum number q such that q > 1 and $q \mid m$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

Constructive proofs

The previous proof can be easily transformed into a *constructive proof*

Let $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$ be any list of prime numbers, distinct or not Consider the number $m = p_1 p_2 ... p_n + 1$ Consider the minimum number q such that q > 1 and $q \mid m$ Then q is a prime number not appearing in the given list

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日



Gruppo di matematica discreta e computazionale Research Day 2017

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

■ のへで



• Empty list: m = 1 + 1 = 2, q = 2

Gruppo di matematica discreta e computazionale Research Day 2017

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@



• Empty list: m = 1 + 1 = 2, q = 2

• 2,2,3:
$$m = 12 + 1 = 13$$
, $q = 13$

Gruppo di matematica discreta e computazionale Research Day 2017

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@



- Empty list: m = 1 + 1 = 2, q = 2
- 2, 2, 3: m = 12 + 1 = 13, q = 13
- 2, 5, 11: m = 110 + 1 = 111, q = 3

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@



• Empty list: m = 1 + 1 = 2, q = 2

• 2, 2, 3:
$$m = 12 + 1 = 13$$
, $q = 13$

• 2, 5, 11:
$$m = 110 + 1 = 111$$
, $q = 3$

There are many other proof in elementary and higher mathematics that can be transformed in a constructive fashion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○