

Chain Rules for Entropy

The entropy of a collection of random variables is the sum of conditional entropies.

Theorem: Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be random variables having the mass probability $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Then

$$H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1)$$

The proof is obtained by repeating the application of the two-variable expansion rule for entropies.

Conditional Mutual Information

We define the conditional mutual information of random variable X and Y given Z as:

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y | Z) &= H(X | Z) - H(X | Y, Z) \\ &= E_{p(x, y, z)} \log \frac{p(X, Y | Z)}{p(X | Z) p(Y | Z)} \end{aligned}$$

Mutual information also satisfy a chain rule:

$$I(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i; Y | X_{i-1}, X_{i-2}, \dots, X_1)$$

Convex Function

We recall the definition of convex function.

A function is said to be *convex* over an interval (a,b) if for every $x_1, x_2 \in (a,b)$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \leq \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2)$$

A function f is said to be *strictly convex* if equality holds only if $\lambda=0$ or $\lambda=1$.

Theorem: If the function f has a second derivative which is non-negative (positive) everywhere, then the function is convex (strictly convex).

Jensen's Inequality

If f is a convex function and X is a random variable, then

$$Ef(X) \geq f(EX)$$

Moreover, if f is strictly convex, then equality implies that $X=EX$ with probability 1, i.e. X is a constant.

Information Inequality

Theorem: Let $p(x)$, $q(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$, be two probability mass function. Then

$$D(p\|q) \geq 0$$

With equality if and only if

$$p(x) = q(x) \quad \text{for all } x.$$

Corollary: (Non negativity of mutual information): For any two random variables, X , Y ,

$$I(X;Y) \geq 0$$

With equality if and only if X and Y are independent

Bounded Entropy

We show that the uniform distribution over the range \mathcal{X} is the maximum entropy distribution over this range. It follows that any random variable with this range has an entropy no greater than $\log|\mathcal{X}|$.

Theorem: $H(X) \leq \log|\mathcal{X}|$, where $|\mathcal{X}|$ denotes the number of elements in the range of X , with equality if and only if X has a uniform distribution over \mathcal{X} .

Proof: Let $u(x) = 1/|\mathcal{X}|$ be the uniform probability mass function over \mathcal{X} and let $p(x)$ be the probability mass function for X . Then

$$D(p\|q) = \sum p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{u(x)} = \log|\mathcal{X}| - H(X)$$

Hence by the non-negativity of the relative entropy,

$$0 \leq D(p\|u) = \log|\mathcal{X}| - H(X)$$

Conditioning Reduces Entropy

Theorem:

$$H(X|Y) \leq H(X)$$

with equality if and only if X and Y are independent.

Proof:

$$0 \leq I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

Intuitively, the theorem says that knowing another random variable Y can only reduce the uncertainty in X. Note that this is true only on the average.

Specifically, $H(X|Y=y)$ may be greater than or less than or equal to $H(X)$, but on the average

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_y p(y)H(X|Y=y) \leq H(X)$$

Example

Let (X,Y) have the following joint distribution

| | X | |
|---|-----|-----|
| Y | 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 0 | 3/4 |
| 2 | 1/8 | 1/8 |

Then $H(X) = (1/8, 7/8) = 0.544$ bits, $H(X|Y=1) = 0$ bits and $H(X|Y=2) = 1$ bit. We calculate $H(X|Y) = 3/4 H(X|Y=1) + 1/4 H(X|Y=2) = 0.25$ bits. Thus the uncertainty in X is increased if Y=2 is observed and decreased if Y=1 is observed, but uncertainty decreases on the average.

Independence Bound on Entropy

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are random variables with mass probability $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Then:

$$H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i)$$

With equality if and only if the X_i are independent.

Proof: By the chain rule of entropies:

$$H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i)$$

Where the inequality follows directly from the previous theorem. We have equality if and only if X_i is independent of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i-1} for all i , i.e. if and only if the X_i 's are independent.

Fano's Inequality

Suppose that we know a random variable Y and we wish to guess the value of a correlated random variable X . Fano's inequality relates the probability of error in guessing the random variable X to its conditional entropy $H(X|Y)$. It will be crucial in proving the converse to Shannon's channel capacity theorem. We know that the conditional entropy of a random variable X given another random variable Y is zero if and only if X is a function of Y . Hence we can estimate X from Y with zero probability of error if and only if $H(X|Y) = 0$.

Extending this argument, we expect to be able to estimate X with a low probability of error only if the conditional entropy $H(X|Y)$ is small. Fano's inequality quantifies this idea. Suppose that we wish to estimate a random variable X with a distribution $p(x)$. We observe a random variable Y that is related to X by the conditional distribution $p(y|x)$.

Fano's Inequality

From Y , we calculate a function $g(Y) = X^\wedge$, where X^\wedge is an estimate of X and takes on values in \mathcal{X}^\wedge . We will not restrict the alphabet \mathcal{X}^\wedge to be equal to \mathcal{X} , and we will also allow the function $g(Y)$ to be random. We wish to bound the probability that $X^\wedge \neq X$. We observe that $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X^\wedge$ forms a Markov chain. Define the probability of error: $P_e = \Pr\{X^\wedge \neq X\}$.

Theorem:
$$H(P_e) + P_e \log(|\mathcal{X}^\wedge| - 1) \geq H(X | Y)$$

$$1 + P_e \log |\mathcal{X}^\wedge| \geq H(X | Y)$$

The inequality can be weakened to:

$$P_e \geq \frac{H(X | Y) - 1}{\log |\mathcal{X}^\wedge|}$$

Remark: Note that $P_e = 0$ implies that $H(X | Y) = 0$ as intuition suggests.