





Goals

- Reliable and efficient communication between two nodes on the same physical medium
 - Cable (Wired)
 - Wireless
- Assumptions from the lower physical layer:
 - The concept of bit is defined
 - Bits, if received, arrive in the same order in which they have been transmitted

3



Functionality

- Framing = Bit grouping into layer-2 PDUs
- · Error checking
- Ack and retransmission of corrupted/lost PDUs (not in all protocols)
- Policy of use of the channel if more than 2 nodes share the same physical medium
 - Node addressing
 - Channel arbitration



Services provided to the upper network layer


- Un-acknowledged connection-less service (e.g. Ethernet/IEEE802.3)
- Acknowledged connection-less service (e.g. WiFi/IEEE802.11, IEEE802.15.4)
- Connection-oriented service (e.g. IEEE802.16)
- REMARK: the connection-oriented service is also acknowledged and furthermore it provides flow control

5



Framing

- Improve channel utilisation in case of more than two nodes sharing it
- Requested to check errors and recover PDUs
 - Error detection must be performed on blocks of bits (e.g. CRC)
 - The corrupted PDU can be retransmitted
- Issue: definition of start/end of frame






Start/end of frame

- We need to use symbols which are not used to send data otherwise a sequence of data bits could be considered erroneously a start/end of frame
 - Physical signal configurations which are not used for data bits
 - Specific configuration choices can improve bit synchronization between TX and RX
 - Particular sequence of data bit values (FLAG)
 - Bit stuffing/de-stuffing is needed to avoid FLAG simulation in the PDU
 - Inter-packet gap minimum between 2 consecutive frames



Bit stuffing/de-stuffing

- Example taken from HDLC protocol
- Byte 011111110 is used as FLAG at the beginning/end of each frame
- The bits of the original frame are modified through stuffing
 - After five "1"s a "0" is automatically inserted
- At the receiver the Data Link layer operates de-stuffing





Error detection

- · Some bits may have incorrect values at the RX
 - Interference, low-level signal
 - Often errors are not isolated but group into burst
- · Hamming distance
- Redundant information must be added to the message to check errors
 - m bits of the original message
 - r bits of the code for error detection
 - n=m+r bits transmitted on the channel
 - Code rate = m/n
- Examples
 - Parity Bit
 - Checksum
 - Circular Redundancy Check (CRC)

11



Parity bit

- At the TX a bit is appended to the message
 - "1" if the amount of "1" in the message is even
 - "0" if the amount of "1" in the message is odd
- At the RX if the amount of "1" is even then at least one bit flipped its values
 - One bit or an odd number of bits (we cannot distinguish)
 - Errors affecting an even number of bits are not detected



Check sum


- Extension of the concept of parity bit
- The message is decomposed into r bit words
- The words are summed and overflow is not taken into account
- The sum (another r-bit word) is appended to the message
- The sum is recomputed at the RX
 - If it is different from the appended value an error occurred
- Errors are not detected if they affect different bits that do not change the sum


13



Circular Redundancy Check (CRC)

- The message is seen as the coefficients vector of a polynomy M(x) having degree m-1
- Let R(x) be the remainder of the polinomial division $x^rM(x)/G(x)$ where G(x) is named *generating polynomy*
- By construction the polynomy $x^rM(x)-R(x)$ is exactly divided by G(x) and it is transmitted on the channel (m+r) bit)
- At RX if the received sequence of bits is exactly divided by G(x) then it is considered correct







Limit of the point-to-point architecture

- In case of N nodes the number of point-topoint channels is N(N-1) with a quadratic cost increase
- · A shared channel is needed

17



Access in case of shared channel

- Random access: the node which wants to transmit must wait for the channel to be free (carrier sense)
- Controlled access:
 - Polling: a master asks to each other node if it has something to transmit
 - Token: a token moves among the nodes; the node with the token can transmit for a given amount of time



Access in case of shared channel (2)

- Multiplexing: the physical channel is de-composed into logical channels used by nodes pairs as they were pointto-point channels
- De-composition methodology:
 - Radio frequency for wireless (Frequency Division Multiplexing o FDM) o light color for optical fibers (Wavelength Division Multiplexing o WDM)
 - Time interval (Time Division Multiplexing TDM)
 - Frequency+time (Code Division Multiplexing CDM)
 - 3G mobile and beyond

19



Problems in case of wireless transmission

- Interference and path loss
 - Non-negligible bit error rate
- Collision management more complex
 - Hidden node
 - Exposed Node



Interference and path loss

- More devices use the same frequency band (since it is un-licensed)
 - Other wireless nodes
 - Remote controls
 - Microwave owens
- The signal energy decreases as a function of the distance between TX and RX
- Obstacles (e.g., walls)
- Multiple reflections of the signal cause signal distorsion

21



Correct frame probability

· Probability to receive a correct bit


$$\left(1-P_{bit}^{error}\right)$$


Probability to receive a PDU of length N


$$P_{ok}^{frame} = \left(1 - P_{bit}^{error}\right)^{N}$$

-E.g., N = 1518 byte =12144 bit

- Caso Ethernet $P_{bit}^{errore} = 10^{-10} \Rightarrow P_{ok}^{frame} = 0.9999988$
- Caso WiFi $P_{bit}^{errore} = 10^{-4} \Rightarrow P_{ok}^{frame} = 0.2968700$











MAC Challenges


- Traditionally
 - Fairness
 - Latency
 - Throughput
- For Sensor Networks
 - Power efficiency
 - Scalability


27




Power consumption of carrier sense

- Expected life time of many WSN applications: Months or years
- Actual lifetime
 - AA batteries: Max. 2000 mAh
 - CC2430 radio: 26.7mA in RX mode
 - -2000mAh /26.7mA =75 hours =3 days
 - → Keep radio asleep most of the time
 - → Ideal duty cycle: 0.1% 1%









Example of power-efficient MAC

- 1 s in sleep mode (power mode 2) → 0.5 μA
- 0.005 s in RX mode for carrier sense → 26.7 mA
- 0.005 s in TX mode to send packet → 28.1 mA
- Weighted current consumption
 (0.0005*1000+26.7*5+28.1*5)/(1010) ~ 0.27 mA
- With AA batteries: 2000mAh / 0.27 mA ~ 7359 hours ~ 307 days



Sources of energy waste

- Collision
 - Retransmissions
- Idle listening
 - Continuously sense the channel
- Overhearing
 - Listen to packets addressed to other nodes
- · Packet overhead
 - Header
 - Control packets (e.g., RTS/CTS)

33




Power Save Design Alternatives


- Wake-up radio
 - A sleeping node can be woken at any time by a secondary receiver (wake-up radio)
- Asymmetric polling
- Timer-Based
 - When a node enters sleep mode, it sets a timer to wakeup at a pre-determined time
- Hybrid
 - Timer-Based plus Wake-up radio




Wake-up radio

- Add second, low-power receiver to wakeup the main system on-demand
- · Low-power could be achieved by:
 - Simpler hardware with a lower bit-rate and/or less decoding capability
 - Periodic listening using a radio with identical physical layer as data radio













S-MAC (Sensor MAC)


- · A node sleeps most of the time
- Periodically wake up for short intervals to see if any node is transmitting a packet
- Accept latency to extend lifetime














Pros and Cons of B-MAC

- No need for everybody to stay awake when there is no traffic
 - Just wake up for preamble sampling and go back to sleep
- Better power conservation, latency and throughput than S-MAC
- Simpler to implement
- Low duty cycle → longer preamble
 - Little cost to receiver yet higher cost to sender
 - Longer delay
 - More contention





Thoughts on X-MAC


- Better than B-MAC in terms of latency, throughput and power consumption
- Energy consumption due to overhearing reduced
- Simple to implement
- On average the preamble size is reduced by half compared to B-MAC → Still considerable overhead

47




SCP-MAC


- Scheduled Channel Polling by everybody
 - Avoid long preambles in LPL (Low Power Listening) supported by B-MAC
- Wake up tone
 - Much shorter than preamble in LPL followed by data
- Assumption: the listening intervals must be synchronized






- Predictable delay, throughput and duty cycle
- Little packet losses due to contention
- Scheduling and time sync are difficult
- Slots are wasted when a node has nothing to send











- Good idea to combine strengths of CSMA and TDMA
- Complex
- · Especially hard to implement TDMA part
 - How to deal with topology changes?







MAC protocols supported by TinyOS

- CC1100: experimental B-MACCC2420: X-MAC